

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
TUESDAY, AUGUST 7, 2001  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S035368 People, Respondent

v.

Enrique Zambrano, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including October 9, 2001.

S090420 Joseph Naegele et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants

v.

R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Co. et al., Defendants and Respondents

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellants' reply brief on the merits is extended to and including August 25, 2001.

S083545 In re **Joan Baumgarten** on Discipline

Good cause having been shown, it is hereby ordered that probation is revoked, the previously ordered stay of execution of suspension in the above entitled matter is lifted, and **Joan Baumgarten, State Bar No. 108909**, shall be actually suspended from the practice of law for two years and until she has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on April 20, 2001 (Business & Professions Code section 6007(d)(3)). She is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, §6126, subd. (c).)

S097714      In re **William Joseph Hamilton** on Discipline

It is ordered that **William Joseph Hamilton, State Bar No. 92688**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for 18 months. He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 28, 2001. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) **William Joseph Hamilton** is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-third of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2002, 2003 and 2004. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S097916      In re **Joseph Meir Ribakoff** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Joseph Meir Ribakoff, State Bar No. 146573**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for 60 days. He is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation executed on March 28, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-third of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2002, 2003 and 2004. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

S097919 In re **Howard Allan Lipton** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Howard Allan Lipton, State Bar No. 79301**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct; and until he makes restitution to Alliance Bank (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$15,000.00 plus 10% interest per annum from May 5, 1995, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, as provided in the Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition filed on March 28, 2001. He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 28, 2001. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) **Howard Allan Lipton** is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2002 and 2003. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S098174 In re **Stephen M. Weiss** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Stephen M. Weiss, State Bar No. 110150**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for 30 months subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving

stipulation executed March 16, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2002, 2003 and 2004.

S098176 In re **John Edwin Newman** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **John Edwin Newman, State Bar No. 93191**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S098179 In re **Kenneth Martin Christison** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Kenneth Martin Christison, State Bar No. 52281**, be suspended from the practice of law for five years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years subject to the conditions of probation, including six months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on March 29, 2001. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

- S099132      In the Matter of the Resignation of **G. Gregory Williams**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **G. Gregory Williams, State Bar No. 109174**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.  
\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)
- S099502      In the Matter of the Resignation of **David Matsumoto**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **David Matsumoto, State Bar No. 77325**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.  
\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)
- S099509      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Jose Luis Ramos**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **Jose Luis Ramos, State Bar No. 91501**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.  
\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

- S099510      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Douglas Malcolm Marshall**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **Douglas Malcolm Marshall, State Bar No. 55880**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.  
\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)
- S099531      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Eugene Albert Hooser**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **Eugene Albert Hooser, State Bar No. 86091**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.  
\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)